This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

| BLACK BORDERS
| IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
| FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
| BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
| SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
| COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
| GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
| LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
| REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
| OTHER: ____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/773,427	01/31/2001	Bryan D. Skene	50002.05USU1	6987	
38878 75	590 08/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DARBY & DARBY P.C.			BOUTAH, ALINA A		
P.O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK.	NY 10150-5257		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1,2,, 10141,			2143	.,	
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	,			\\P			
1,.,,		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/\			
		09/773,427	SKENE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alina N Boutah	2143				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	SS			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this commit ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status							
1)[\inf	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	January 2 <u>00</u> 1.					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-22</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>3 and 4</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 31 January 2001 is/at Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecte ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1	, ,			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a li	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Sta	nge			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date 3/14/2001.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		2)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: the inventors' signatures are missing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 5-12 and 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPAP 2002/0040400 by Masters.

Regarding claim 1, Masters teaches a method for enabling a client to access a resource on a wide area network environment, comprising:

(a) receiving a request for the resource from a connection that is associated with the client (abstract);

Art Unit: 2143

(b) selecting a method for load balancing each request from the connection [0013];

(c) employing the selected method to select a server array controller to handle each

request from the connection to the resource [0013; 0055; 0060]; and

(d) persistently referring each request associated with the connection to the selected

server array controller until another method is selected for load balancing each request from the

connection, wherein the selected server array controller associates the client's connection with

the requested resource [0011; 0013; 0055; 0060].

However, Masters does not explicitly teach selecting one of plurality of server array

controllers to handle each request. At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in

the art would have been motivated to employ a plurality of server array controllers in order to

evenly distribute the client's request, therefore expanding the processing time of the request

handling.

Regarding claim 2, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the client is a local

domain name system (LDNS) server (figures 1A and 1B, 106).

Regarding claim 5, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the client employs a

proxy server to send the request for the resource (figure 1A: 104).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 6, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, wherein referring each request associated with the connection to the selected server array controller further comprises sending to the client an IP address associated with the selected server array controller (figure 5B; 0082).

Regarding claim 7, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the selected server array controller associates the client with a virtual server that includes the requested resource, wherein the virtual server is managed by the selected server array controller (figures 1A and 1B; 118 and 120).

Regarding claim 8, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising storing information associated with the request [0003].

Regarding claim 9, Masters teaches the method of claim 9, wherein the information includes an IP address associated with the client, another IP address associated with the server array controller, a time stamp, and a time to live [0004].

Regarding claim 10, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, wherein each request associated with the connection is made by any one of a plurality of LDNS servers (figures 1A and 1B: 106).

Art Unit: 2143

Regarding claim 11, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the selected server array controller manages at least one virtual server that provides access to the requested resource (figures 1A and 1B; 118 and 120).

Regarding claim 12, Masters teaches the method of claim 1, wherein the selected method for load balancing includes one of static stripe, dynamic, and topological methods [0013].

Claims 18-22 are similar to claim 1, therefore are rejected under the same rationale.

Claims 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USPAP 2002/0040400 by Masters in view of USPN 6,078,943 issued to Yu.

Regarding claim 13, Masters teaches a method for enabling a client to access a resource on a wide area network environment, comprising: load balancing a request from a connection associated with the client for handling by a selected server array controller, each subsequent and timely request from the connection is handled by the selected server array controller; and (b) when the subsequent request is untimely, load balancing the request from the connection for handling by another selected server array controller [0011; 0013; 0055; 0060].

Art Unit: 2143

However, Masters fails to explicitly teach employing an extended domain name system server to load balance the request from the client to the selected server.

Yu teaches (a) employing an extended domain name system (EDNS) server to load balance a request from a connection associated with the client for handling by a selected server array controller, each subsequent and timely request from the connection is persistently referred by the EDNS server for handling by the selected server array controller; and (b) when the subsequent request is untimely, employing the EDNS server to load balance the request from the connection for handling by another selected server array controller (figure 1, 62; col. 5, lines 4-28).

At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ an extended domain name system server to load balance a request from the client to a selected server because an EDNS supports non-standard domain naming, which facilitates more flexible domain name serving, thus making the system more efficient.

Regarding claim 14, Yu teaches the method of claim 13, wherein the EDNS server includes a data store for storing information associated with the request (figure 1, 62; col. 5, lines 4-28).

Regarding claim 15, Masters teaches the method of claim 13, further comprising providing metrics from each server array controller to the DNS server, wherein the metrics are

Art Unit: 2143

used in the selection of the server array controller to handle the request from the connection [0060]. However, Masters fails to explicitly teach employing EDNS server. Yu teaches employing an EDNS server to load balance request from client to server (figure 1, 62; col. 5, lines 4-28).

At the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ an extended domain name system server to load balance a request from the client to a selected server because an EDNS supports non-standard domain naming, which facilitates more flexible domain name serving, thus making the system more efficient.

Regarding claim 16, Yu teaches the method of claim 15, wherein the metrics include availability and round trip time (col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 5).

Regarding claim 17, although Yu does not explicitly teach the method of claim 14, further comprising another EDNS server that includes another data store for storing information associated with the request, wherein the EDNS and the other EDNS share request information between the data store and the other data store, one of ordinary in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to employ another EDNS server in order to evenly distribute the client's request, therefore expanding the processing time of the request handling.

Application/Control Number: 09/773,427 Page 8

Art Unit: 2143

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 3, the prior art of record fails to teach the method of claim 2, wherein the selected load balancing method employs modulus arithmetic to select a virtual server managed by the selected server array controller, including: (a) converting the LDNS server's IP address into a first value; (b) dividing the first value by the total amount (N) of virtual servers that are available, each available virtual server corresponding to a particular value of N; (c) adding one to a remainder of the dividing action to create a second value; and (d) selecting the virtual server that corresponds to the particular value of N that is one greater than the second value.

Claim 4 depends on objected claim 3, therefore would also be allowed if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. USPN 6,484,143 issued to Swildens et al.
- P. Vixie, "Domain Name Anarchy: Extension Mechanism for DNS," RFC 2671, August 1999.

Art Unit: 2143

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alina N Boutah whose telephone number is (703) 305-5104. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00 am-7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANB

JAVAN WILEY
OF DERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
OF OF DENTER 2100